UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,584	12/27/2004	Jun Fujii	1217-045998	9566
7590 07/17/2008 Kent E Baldauf 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HUY TRAM	
Pittsburgh, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/519,584	FUJII ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HUY-TRAM NGUYEN	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	av 2008					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-6</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
		ed to by the Examiner				
,	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 December 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	·	a in this Mational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d				
Goo the attached dotaled emocracion for a list of	or the contined copies her reserve	J.				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 110)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 21, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuchitani et al. (US Patent NO. 5,756,057).

Regarding Claim 1, Tsuchitani et al. reference discloses an exhaust gas purifying catalyst-supported member comprising a metal carrier (Column 13, Line 5 and Column 9, Lines 53-63) and a catalyst layer directly formed on a surface of the metal carrier, said catalyst layer comprising an exhaust gas purifying catalyst and silicon oxide, wherein the weight ratio between the exhaust gas purifying catalyst and silicon oxide in the catalyst layer is in the range of 10:90 to 40:60, and the exhaust gas

Art Unit: 1797

purifying catalyst in the catalyst layer comprises at least one noble metal selected from the group consisting of platinum, palladium and rhodium, and activated alumina (Column 13, Lines 5-20 – 0.5 to 30 g of palladium and 0.05 to 50 g of silicon oxide).

Regarding Claim 2, Tsuchitani et al. reference discloses the exhaust gas purifying catalyst-supported member as claimed in claim 1, wherein the weight ratio between the exhaust gas purifying catalyst and silicon oxide in the catalyst layer is in the range of 20:80 to 40:60 (Column 13, Lines 5-20 – 0.5 to 30 g of palladium and 0.05 to 50 g of silicon oxide).

Regarding Claim 4, Tsuchitani et al. reference discloses the exhaust gas purifying catalyst-supported member as claimed in claim 1, wherein the metal carrier is a metal plate selected from the group consisting of a stainless steel plate, a stainless steel tube and a stainless steel corrugated plate (Column 9, Line 53-Column 10, Line 5).

Regarding Claim 5, Tsuchitani et al. reference discloses the exhaust gas purifying catalyst-supported member as claimed in claim 1, wherein the weight ratio between the noble metal and the activated alumina in the exhaust gas purifying catalyst layer is in the range of 1:1 to 1:35 (Column 13, Lines 5-20 – 10 to 300 g activated alumina and 0.5 to 30 g of palladium).

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1797

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchitani et al. (US Patent No. 5,756,057) in view of Homeier et al. (US Patent No. 4,759,918).

Regarding Claim 6, Tsuchitani et al. reference discloses the exhaust gas purifying catalyst-supported member as claimed in claim 1 except for the catalyst support member being a mesh filter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a metal mesh filter for solving the diesel emission problem (Homeier et al. – Column 1, Lines 27-31).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY-TRAM NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3167. The examiner can normally be reached on MON- THURS: 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HTN 7/10/08

/Walter D. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797